

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/027,279

Applicant(s)

SHERROD ET AL.

Examiner

Vivian Chen

Art Unit

1773

**All Participants:**(1) Vivian Chen.(2) Mr. Connolly, Atty.**Status of Application:** pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 3 February 2004**Time:** 3 PM**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*rejection of record*

Claims discussed:

1-27

Prior art documents discussed:

*references of record***Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Mr. Connolly gave authorization for Examiner's Amendment to place case in condition for allowance, as previously discussed in phone conversation of 1/23/2004 (e.g., incorporation of flushability limitation set forth in claims 3, 6 into independent claims 1, 5, with cancellation of redundant claims, etc.).